

CONTEMPORARY ARCHITECTURAL OFFICES | 2015

Neptun code	Topic	Submission	Character	Mark	Comment	
1	PKFL0M			not complied		
2	HD6VUG	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.17.	11 000	5	Very interesting writing. Good comparison with house in Trakai. Nice account about scandinavian architecture.
3	EEE2ZB			not complied		
4	M607H3	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.21.	10 900	4	Good analysis and interesting comparison, but to chose the smallest project was not the best idea.
5	HOCEEA	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.20.	9 900	5	Good writing, but I would read more about the greek examples, specially Konstantinidis building.
6	FELHBS	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.20.	10 000	5	Attila was erasmus student in Finland, not in Sweden. But the other is OK, specially the analysis of the choosen project.
7	RE7X0K			not complied		
8	FR8YI0	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.22.	9 200	4	Good structure, good research, but missing of a deeper analysis.
9	IPBMJL	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	6 700	5	Nice analyses, all three of them. Also the photos are very good, but some kind of conclusion is missing.
10	CKFF00			not complied		
11	JCQLZW			not complied		
12	GLTOXC	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.13.	12 000	5	Precise and personal writing, with good realizations. Careful research work. Intresting structure: facts + comments. Good critic and summury.
13	L8CKAY			not complied		
14	W3W00S	Metro4/station	2015.05.21.	10 400	4	Good research, but too many source text. Good examples, but the writing falls apart about the lots of topics.
15	A5E40X			not complied		
16	PIHSG7	Metro4/station	2015.05.24.	8 100	2	Not a bad essay, there are some good individual ideas, but beacuse of the late, it is only 2.
17	FZD39S	Metro4/station	2015.05.21.	9 900	2	The essay is a little caos. Lots of infomations without any conception. Lots of analyses, but neither of the are so precise. Lack of photos.
18	N0FZQV	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	6 000	1	Copy + paste essay.
19	SLC7QJ	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	8 500	4	Interesting brazilian example. Good structure, but some part of the analyse are copied.
20	SVSGQI	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	7 000	5	Very nice analysis, and thank You the comments.
21	L4ADOI	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	14 000	5	Jó meglátásokkal tűzdelt, egyedi írás, azonban a dolgozat hangvétele néhol nem üti meg egy tudományos írás színvonalát.
22	D1QRBH	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.22.	10 200	5	Nice and detailed analysis. I understand the comparison, but it doesn't fit the best, plus it is a little bit sort. In general, the essay is interesting.
23	JO098E	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	10 600	5	Good structure, good research, good analysis, good writing.
24	V9MVHE	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	8 200	5	Very interesting writing with a good summary of the metro 4 project.
25	F0XUW8	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.10.	11 500	5	Good structure. Clear-sighted thoughts and essay. Nice source signs. Good english. Missing detailed analysing and some pictures.
26	I1Q32M	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.20.	11 900	5	Interesting and personal writing, with good french references.
27	URVWGT	Metro4/station	2015.05.21.	10 800	5	Nice sketches, nice analyses, individual writing, but missing a some kind of summary of the metro 4 project.
28	BIMCR1	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.21.	15 200	2	The first part of the essay is fully copied. The comparisons do not fit at all.
29	JHEP65	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.21.	10 500	4	Interesting spanish example and good introduction. The descriptions of the UTT projects are too sort.
30	JSMBWI			not complied		
31	AL140Y	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.21.	10 100	5	Very detailed writing about UTT, with good italian references and clear conclusion.
32	I1GMQ6	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.21.	11 000	5	Also a very details writing about UTT wit h clear realizations.
33	G5F6C7	Saunders/Béres	2015.05.22.	8 400	3	The essay is falling apart, it has no concept and the analysis is not so precise.
34	HBHN36			not complied		
35	QF3C4M			not complied		
36	I3IFE4	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.22.	10 000	4	Good structure, good research, but the analysis could be deeper. The comparison is also good, but it could also be deeper.
37	ILJY0I	Clegg/Juhász	2015.05.21.	9 400	1	Copy + paste essay.
38	BF3OP0			not complied		
39	C2F4V9	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.22.	10 500	5	Nice analysis, good individual opinions. The iranian comparison (isn't fit perfectly, but) is very interesting. Altogether it is a good essay.
40	JO49DF	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.22.	7 200	1	We have visited Eiffel Palace office building, not Eiffel Tér office building....
41	DXT46N	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.20.	12 600	3	The description of the Solar building is interesting, but (as I mentioned) does not fit perfectly. The analysis of Eiffel is almost fully copied.
42	X39K3O	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.22.	11 200	3	The comparison is interesting, but does not fit to the Eiffel Palace. The analysis of the Eiffel Palace is too sort.
43	D3SQEA	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.22.	10 700	5	Good research, nice analysis. Interesting comparison (but doesn't fit perfectly). In general it is a good witing.
44	DVMCWP	Metro4	2015.05.22.	8 200	3	Very interesting brazilian example and good analysis about Budapest public transportation, but less about metro 4 architecture
45	PTZLHK	Schwarz/UTT	2015.05.22.	12 000	5	Very detailed writing from a personal view (but it is a little bit long, it would better to concentrate).
46	L0ISH4			not complied		
47	GZHC0X	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.21.	10 000	2	The comparison is good, specially with the Sauerbruch Hutton building, but in the analysis there is nothing, what we have seen on the site visit.
48	PZDPBE			not complied		
49	ET04FO	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	7 000	1	Copy + paste essay.
50	M3N7DO	Metro4/station	2015.05.20.	7 400	3	The analysis (which is yours) is not bad, but it is too sort. The introduction is fully copied from Wikipedia.
51	NIZZHN	Eiffel/Corvin	2015.05.05.	9 100	2	Analysing of the Eiffel Tower office building, but not the Eiffel Palace, which was the topic. Plus a very poor essay.
52	LF2Y24			not complied		
53	KR7LR1	Metro4/station	2015.05.14.	10 200	5	Nice design. Good (and funny) writing style. Very interesting reading.
54	P8ONRV	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	11 300	3	The interviews are great, but the other parts are not so detailed and in the end of the essay there is no conclusion.
55	S8G7RP	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	10 200	4	Good analysis, specially the own opinion, but lots of fault of spelling.
56	DJZKXX	Metro4/station	2015.05.05.	10 700	4	Good research method, but too many copies. Interesting comparison with the Athen mertoline, but not so detailed.
57	B529I5			not complied		
58	DK6NCV			not complied		
59	RXCNY8	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	7 400	4	The analysis is good, but it is too sort. In this project the political background is not so relevant, as You mentioned.
60	T0M8D6	Metro4/station	2015.05.22.	11 900	5	Very detailed analysis, but some of the questions and critics were answered on the lecture.

Marks:

- 1 - worst mark
- 5 - best mark

Topics:

- Eiffel/Corvin Review one of the office buildings, that we have visited (Eiffel Office Building and Corvin Corner Office Building) and compare it with a similar building from Your country.
- Metro4/station Review sortly Metro 4 project in Budapest and choose one of the stations, than analyse it.
- Clegg/Juhász Review sortly the Failed Clegg Bradley Studios and its works, choose one of the projects, that was presented by Ákos Juhász and analyse it.
- Saunders/Béres Review sortly the Saunders Architecture Studio and its works, choose one of the projects, that was presented by Attila Béres and analyse it.
- Schwarz/UTT Review sortly the Urban Think Tank office and its works, choose one of the projects, that was presented by Daniel Schwarz and analyse it.